

Atty Docket No. 021226-000310US

PTO FAX NO.: 703-746-8301

ATTENTION: Examiner CAPRON, Aaron J.
TELEPHONE NO.: 703-305-3520

Group Art Unit 3714

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION
FOR THE PERSONAL ATTENTION OF
EXAMINER CAPRON, Aaron J.

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION


I hereby certify that the following document(s) in re Application of MONTGOMERY et al., Application No. 09/770,998, filed January 25, 2001 for MULTI-GAME MACHINE AND METHOD FOR SIMULTANEOUS PLAY is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Document(s) Attached

1. Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form
2. Interview Agenda

Number of pages being transmitted, including this page: 3

Dated: August 12, 2003



**PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT OF THIS PAPER BY
RETURN FACSIMILE AT (415) 576-0300**

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834
Telephone: 415-576-0200
Fax: 415-576-0300

60014556 v1

PTOL-413A (08-03)
 Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031
 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/770,998 First Named Applicant: Montgomery, David B.
 Examiner: Capron, Aaron J. Art Unit: 3714 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Brian N. Young (2) _____
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 8/14/03 Proposed Time: 2:00 (AM/PM) PM

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to the USPTO (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

INTERVIEW AGENDA

For: Scheduled Interview with Examiner Aaron J. Capron on August 14, 2003 at 2:00 PM EDT

Re: Office Action of May 21, 2003 for U.S. Patent Application Serial No.: 09/770,998

Summary of Issues that the Applicant wishes to discuss with the Examiner at the Interview:

I. Section 102 rejections:

- a. Claim 1 in view of Vuong, et al., (U.S. Patent No. 5,762,552).

II. Brief Description of Arguments:

- a. Discussion of claim element "games". Discussion of distinction between live table games broadcast in a machine and video games.

III. Section 103 rejections:

- a. Claim 2 in view of Moody, et al., (U.S. Patent No. 5,976,016).

IV. Brief Description of Arguments:

- a. Discussion of pay table with respect to claims and Moody. Claim 2 discloses a pay table that is generated from possible outcomes of multiple games where the joint outcomes of the multiple games is awarded from the pay table unlike Moody discloses a single pay table that is awarded individually for each game in multiple games.